

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/723,647

Applicant(s)

VERRALL ET AL.

Examiner

Necholus Ogden

Art Unit

1751

All Participants:

(1) Necholus Ogden.

(2) Michael Muczynski.

Status of Application: non-final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 May 2004

Time: 2:00

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

1-31

Prior art documents discussed:

Fujiwara, Miyazaki, wO '417

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's rep. discussed the claims and the prior art of record. It was brought to the examiner's attention that a pre-amendment was filed that addressed the concerns of the art that was applied and it appeared that it was not entered. The examiner discussed the pre-amendment and the prior art of record wherein it was agreed that the claims of the pre-amendment overcame the art of record and the claims are allowable..